



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/219,267	12/23/1998	JAY S. WALKER	WD-98109	2012

22927 7590 12/12/2001

WALKER DIGITAL
FIVE HIGH RIDGE PARK
STAMFORD, CT 06905

EXAMINER

MYHRE, JAMES W

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2162

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2001

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/219,267

Applicant(s)
Walker et al

Examiner
James W. Myhre

Group Art Unit
2162



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James W. Myhre

(3) _____

(2) Dean Alderucci

(4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 11, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Logan (5,721,827)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Applicant Representative (AR) described how his invention differed from Logan, mainly that the invention provided the offer to the purchaser between the time the purchaser indicated a desire to purchase an item and the consummation of the purchase. The Examiner noted that Logan disclosed a subscriber indicating a desired item (music), presenting one or more ads during the playing of the selected music to reduce the cost of the music, and then charging the subscriber the remaining amount. After further discussion it was agreed that Logan may not include receiving an indication of acceptance of the offer by the subscriber; however, a closer reading of the reference would be required. Also discussed was the request for further information in the Priority heading of the preceding office action in which the Examiner asked the AR to identify where in the nine priority documents the present claimed features were first disclosed. The AR noted that the priority date was mute if the cited references pre-dated the earliest date claimed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

James W. Myhre
Patent Examiner